Last week: How to make more supplisitiented models  
by engineering features  
This week: How to make sure your supplisitiented model  
predicts the variable you want well  
( how to ensure your model generalizes well)  
Bias-Variance Decomposition  
we don't know 9  

$$E[(Y-\hat{Y}(x))^2] = E[\hat{Y}(x) - g(x)]^2 + E[(\hat{Y}(x) - E[\hat{Y}(x)])^2] + \sigma^2$$
  
T  
Model Bias: How well does my model predict data it's  
trained on?  
Model Variance: If I ware to change my training data slightly,  
how much would the model's prediction change?  
Y(A)  
Y

×\*

≯

X



We want models with low model bios + low model variance

law training error low validation error

high model complexity Regularization · Motivation: If we have a lat of features, we might get a model that performs well on the training data, but model does not generalize well (low bias, high variance) Test data Training data Test predictions This situation happens because we are giving our model too much freedom = it is using that freedom to find patterns that exist only in the training data Weed to restrict our model to discourage using all features Before: minimize MSE =  $\frac{1}{n} \hat{\sum}_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f_0(x_i))^2$ Regularization: minimize  $\frac{1}{n} \hat{\sum}_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f_0(x_i))^2 + \chi \hat{\leq} \Theta_j^2$ I is a scalour that we tells the model that choose (through cross-validation) choices of O with high magnitude are bad!



With regularization, we are sacrificing some training error with the hope that we will get at least as much back on test error (which really matters)

